28

The Court, having fully considered the papers and arguments presented by the parties, hereby GRANTS Arista Networks, Inc.'s Motion to Strike Expert Opinions and Testimony of Dr. Kevin C. Almeroth as follows:

Based on the arguments and written submissions, the Court finds that the following portions of the "Opening Expert Report of Kevin Almeroth Regarding Copying" submitted on June 3, 2016, and the "Rebuttal Expert Report of Kevin Almeroth" submitted on June 17, 2016, are inadmissible and excluded under Federal Rules of Evidence 702, 402, and 403, and under *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993) because they are lay opinions or summations of evidence that will not assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue, they are not based on sufficient facts or data, they are not based on reliable principles and methods, and/or Dr. Almeroth has not reliably applied those methods to the record evidence:

- Dr. Almeroth's opinions and testimony regarding Arista's purported copying of Cisco's parser source code, including his opinion that Arista's parser exhibits "non-standard" behavior. Opening Rpt. ¶¶ 81–87, 224; Almeroth Dep. Tr. 276:25–278:10, 279:9–280:11, 280:13–281:12.
- Dr. Almeroth's opinions and testimony regarding Arista's purported copying of Cisco source code relating to CLI command "help descriptions." Opening Rpt. ¶¶ 100, 221, 225–230 and Exhibit Copying-6; Rebuttal Rpt. ¶ 148(a).
- Dr. Almeroth's opinions and testimony regarding Arista's purported copying of the Cisco CLI's "look and feel." Opening Rpt. ¶¶ 65, 174; Rebuttal Rpt. ¶¶ 64, 70, 136, 137, 145, 158; Almeroth Dep. Tr. 123:1–5; 124:18–125:5; 125:22–126:18; 127:3–128:10; 129:25–143:18.
- Dr. Almeroth's lay opinions and summations of evidence regarding Arista's state of mind, including opinions regarding the knowledge, specific intent, and beliefs of Arista employees pertaining to indirect infringement, bad faith, and lay opinions and arguments summarizing other non-technical record evidence, which is not proper expert testimony. Opening Rpt. ¶¶ 70, 73–76, 78–79, 112, 118, 138–40, 145–48, 152–53, 156–57, 195, 203, 219-20, 242, 246 (and footnotes 188–91), 250, 256–57; Rebuttal Rpt. ¶¶ 104, 124, 132, 135, 137, 138–39, 142–43, 146–47, 149–50, 157, 159, 160.
- Dr. Almeroth's opinions and testimony that merely vouch for the credibility and truthfulness of what individuals said in deposition testimony and in documents, which invades the province of the jury. Opening Rpt. ¶¶ 51, 98, 102–10, 115, 136–37, 247, 260; Rebuttal Rpt. ¶¶ 62 (and footnote 30), 73, 75 (and footnote 47), 102 (and footnote 72), 108 (and footnotes 85 and 86), 125.

Case No. 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (NC)

case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 419-14 Filed 08/05/16 Page 3 of 3